

Environmental Review Record

City of Ontario Sewer Improvement Project

Subject: Area of Potential Effects Notification for the Sewer Line Replacement Project, Ontario, Malheur County, Oregon

Ontario Oregon, Malheur County

Categorical Exclusion — NEPA Environmental Documentation

The following agencies/agents have been contacted regarding this project.

<u>Agency/ Tribe/ Contact/ Data Source</u>	<u>Address</u>	<u>Name</u>	<u>Contact Date</u>	<u>Response</u>
Historic				
Burns Paiute Tribe	Burns Paiute Tribe 100 Pasigo Street Burns, OR 97720-2442	Charisse Sourcie Tribal Historic Preservation Officer	March 10, 2020	
Fort McDermitt Paiute and Shoshone Tribes of the Fort McDermitt Indian Reservation, Nevada and Oregon	Fort McDermitt Paiute and Shoshone Tribes of the Fort McDermitt Indian Reservation, Nevada and Oregon PO Box 457 McDermitt, NV 89421-0457	Tildon Smart Chairperson Fort McDermitt Paiute and Shoshone Tribes of the Fort McDermitt Indian Reservation	March 10, 2020	
Confederated Tribes of the Umatilla Indian Reservation	Confederated Tribes of the Umatilla Indian Reservation 46411 Timine Way Pendleton, OR 97801	Carey Miller Tribal Historic Preservation Officer Confederated Tribes of the Umatilla Indian Reservation	March 10, 2020	

<u>Agency/ Tribe/ Contact/ Data Source</u>	<u>Address</u>	<u>Name</u>	<u>Contact Date</u>	<u>Response</u>
Paiute-Shoshone Tribe of the Fallon Reservation and Colony, Nevada	Paiute-Shoshone Tribe of the Fallon Reservation and Colony, Nevada 565 Rio Vista Drive Fallon, NV 89406-6415	Len George Chairperson Paiute-Shoshone Tribe of the Fallon Reservation and Colony, Nevada	March 10, 2020	
Pyramid Lake Paiute Tribe of the Pyramid Lake Reservation, Nevada	Pyramid Lake Paiute Tribe of the Pyramid Lake Reservation, Nevada PO Box 256 Nixon, NV 89424-0256	Vinton Hawley Chairperson Pyramid Lake Paiute Tribe of the Pyramid Lake Reservation, Nevada	March 10, 2020	
Reno-Sparks Indian Colony, Nevada	Reno-Sparks Indian Colony, Nevada 98 Colony Road Reno, NV 89502	Michon Eben Tribal Historic Preservation Officer Reno-Sparks Indian Colony, Nevada	March 10, 2020	
Shoshone-Paiute Tribes of the Duck Valley Reservation, Nevada	Shoshone-Paiute Tribes of the Duck Valley Reservation, Nevada PO Box 219 Owyhee, NV 89832-0219	Lindsey Manning Chairperson Shoshone-Paiute Tribes of the Duck Valley Reservation, Nevada	March 10, 2020	
Confederated Tribes of the Warm Springs	Tribal Historic Preservation Officer PO Box C Warm Springs, OR 97761	Robert Brunoe	March 10, 2020	

<u>Agency/ Tribe/ Contact/ Data Source</u>	<u>Address</u>	<u>Name</u>	<u>Contact Date</u>	<u>Response</u>
Reservation of Oregon		Tribal Historic Preservation Officer		
Yerington Paiute Tribe of the Yerington Colony & Campbell Ranch, Nevada	Yerington Paiute Tribe of the Yerington Colony & Campbell Ranch, Nevada 171 Campbell Lane Yerington, NV 89447	Linda Howard Chairperson	March 10, 2020	
Oregon State Historic Preservation Office	Oregon State Historic Preservation Office 725 Summer St NE, Suite C Salem, OR 97301	None	March 20, 2020	April 6, 2020. SHPO concurrence letter attached; no historic properties affected for aboveground resources
Oregon State Historic Preservation Office	Oregon State Historic Preservation Office 725 Summer St NE, Suite C Salem, OR 97301	None	March 20, 2020	April 20, 2020. Oregon SHPO recommends having archaeological monitor in the event an archaeological object or site is discovered during any project related ground disturbing activities.
Floodplain				

<u>Agency/ Tribe/ Contact/ Data Source</u>	<u>Address</u>	<u>Name</u>	<u>Contact Date</u>	<u>Response</u>
FEMA map Service Center	https://msc.fema.gov/portals/home	NA	March 15, 2020	NA
Wetlands				
U.S. Fish and Wildlife Services-National Wetlands Inventory	https://www.fws.gov/wetlands/data/Mapper.html	NA	February 11, 2020	NA
Oregon Wetland Inventory		NA	April XX, 2020	NA
CZMA				
Oregon Coastal Management Program, Department of Land Conservation and Development	Oregon Coastal Management Program, Department of Land Conservation and Development website on March 1, 2020 https://www.oregon.gov/lcd/OCMP/Pages/Coastal-Zone.aspx	NA	March 1, 2020	NA
Sole Source Aquifer (SSA)				
USEPA	Map downloaded from the USEPA website SSA interactive mapper https://epa.maps.arcgis.com/apps/webappviewer/index.html?id=9ebb047ba3ec41ada1877155fe31356b	NA	March 1, 2020	NA
ESA				
U.S. Fish and Wildlife Services-	https://ecos.fws.gov/ipac/location/index	NA	April 3, 2020	April 3, 2020-Official Species List

<u>Agency/ Tribe/ Contact/ Data Source</u>	<u>Address</u>	<u>Name</u>	<u>Contact Date</u>	<u>Response</u>
IPaC-Official Species List				
National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS)	http://www.westcoast.fisheries.noaa.gov/maps_data/endangered_species_act_critical_habitat.html http://www.nwr.noaa.gov/maps_data/species_population_boundaries.html https://www.fisheries.noaa.gov/resource/map/critical-habitat-salmon-and-steelhead-all-west-coast https://www.fisheries.noaa.gov/resource/map/species-ranges-salmon-and-steelhead-all-west-coast https://www.fisheries.noaa.gov/west-coast/endangered-species-conservation/snake-river-sockeye-salmon https://www.fisheries.noaa.gov/west-coast/endangered-species-conservation/snake-river-fall-run-chinook-salmon https://www.fisheries.noaa.gov/west-coast/endangered-species-conservation/snake-river-spring-summer-run-chinook-salmon;	NA	April 3, 2020	NA

<u>Agency/ Tribe/ Contact/ Data Source</u>	<u>Address</u>	<u>Name</u>	<u>Contact Date</u>	<u>Response</u>
	https://www.fisheries.noaa.gov/west-coast/endangered-species-conservation/snake-river-basin-steelhead			
Wild Scenic Rivers				
National Wild and Scenic Rivers Inventory	https://www.rivers.gov/rivers/snake.php	NA	April 3, 2020	NA
National Park Services- Nationwide Rivers Inventory	https://www.nps.gov/subjects/rivers/oregon.htm	NA	April 3, 2020	NA
Farmland Protection				
USDA-NRCS- Web Soil Survey	https://websoilsurvey.nrcs.usda.gov/app/HomePage.htm	NA	April 8, 2020	NA
City of Ontario, Oregon- Planning and Zoning	https://www.ontariooregon.org/planningandzoning.html	NA	April 8, 2020	NA
Environmental Justice				
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency- EJ Environmental Screening and Mapping Tool	https://ejscreen.epa.gov/mapper/	NA	April 8, 2020	NA
Airport				

<u>Agency/ Tribe/ Contact/ Data Source</u>	<u>Address</u>	<u>Name</u>	<u>Contact Date</u>	<u>Response</u>
Google Earth Pro	www.googleEarthPro.com	NA	April 10, 2020	NA
Flood Insurance				
FEMA map Service Center	https://msc.fema.gov/portal/home	NA	March 15, 2020	NA
Contamination Toxic Substance				
Environmental Data Resources	www.edrmet.com 1800-352-0050	na	April 13, 2020	April 14, 2020
Inquiry #6040141				
Coastal Barrier Resource Area				
USFWS Coastal Barrier Resources System Mapper	https://www.fws.gov/CBRA/Maps/Mapper.html	NA	Accessed April 22, 2020.	NA
Air Quality				
U.S. EPA. Oregon Nonattainment/Maintenance Status for Each County by Year for All Criteria Pollutants	https://www3.epa.gov/airquality/greenbook/anayo_or.html	NA	April 24, 2020	NA



U.S. Department of Housing and Urban
Development
451 Seventh Street, SW
Washington, DC 20410
www.hud.gov

espanol.hud.gov

**Environmental Review for Activity/Project that is Categorically
Excluded Subject to Section 58.5
Pursuant to 24 CFR 58.35(a)**

Project Information

Project Name: City of Ontario, Sanitary Sewer Improvement Project

Responsible Entity:

Adam Brown, City Manager,

City of Ontario, Oregon

Phone: (541)889-7684

Email: adam.brown@ontariooregon.org

Ontario City Hall

444 SW 4th Street

Ontario, OR 97914

Grant Recipient (if different than Responsible Entity): City of Ontario, Oregon--see above --

State/Local Identifier: Oregon

Preparer: Gretchen Herron, Jacobs Engineering

Certifying Officer Name and Title: Adam Brown City Manager, City of Ontario, Oregon

Grant Recipient (if different than Responsible Entity): City of Ontario, Oregon- see above.

Consultant (if applicable): Jacobs Engineering

Direct Comments to:

Gretchen Herron, Jacobs Engineering, gretchen.herron@jacobs.com ; 208 383-6119 office, 208 297-8613 cell.

Project Location:

City of Ontario, Malheur County, Oregon; Project centroid is: Latitude: 44°

1'10.90"N/Longitude: 116°58'9.73"W. The project limits generally extend north to SW 6th Avenue, south to SW 11th Avenue, east of south Oregon Street (rail yard), and west to Park Boulevard.


Description of the Proposed Project [24 CFR 50.12 & 58.32; 40 CFR 1508.25]:

The project Area of Potential Effects (APE) is located in a mostly residential area in the southern portion of the City of Ontario, Malheur County, Oregon. The APE falls within in Township 18 South Range 47 East Sections 9 and 10. The area can generally be described as being located in an older section of downtown Ontario from the railroad tracks to Park Boulevard, and from SW 6th Ave to SW 11th Ave. The APE will consist of approximately 11,700 linear feet of pipeline that fall within graveled, paved, or manicured areas within the City ROW.


The City of Ontario identified the need to replace existing sanitary sewer line based on Closed Circuit TV (CCTV) review and evaluation. The pipe is comprised mainly of asbestos-cement (AC) pipe, but also includes orangeburg and some concrete. The CCTV evaluation showed significant pipe distress in this area, ranging from loose joints and root intrusion to large holes in the pipe. The majority of the manholes within the system area are in acceptable condition with some requiring minor grouting, repair, and sealing. The original sewer lines were installed using open cut trenching. All work will take place within previously disturbed areas.

The method of rehabilitation for this project will be conducted using trenchless technology. The main trenchless process to be used will be pipe bursting; all work will be conducted within the City's Right of Way. This method significantly reduces the amount of open cut trenching and surface disturbance, while still significantly improving the integrity of the sewer pipe. The only areas of open cut will be immediately around the entrance and exit pits for the pipe bursting work. These cuts are small and located at manholes, typically only a couple of feet larger in diameter than the manhole. All manholes and pipes are within previously disturbed space, and within the City's right of way. There is no other ground disturbance as the bursting head is pushed through the existing pipe and pulls the new pipe along behind it. The bursting head is the same size as the outside diameter of the new pipe being pulled into the space. The old pipe is merely split or burst and the space around it is slightly compacted. The pipe bursting process can be run for several hundred feet and can pass through existing manholes. Pipes to be used in the pipe bursting process will be assembled along the curb line of the street where they will be "welded" (plastic welding) together and fed into the pipe bursting machine behind the bursting head. There is not other laydown material or space needed.

Another trenchless rehabilitation method that will be used in some instances where the pipe condition is structurally sound is Cured-in-Place Pipe. This technology is similar to lining the pipe with a sock that cures in place once installed. The insertion can be done within a manhole and no ground disturbance is required. The assemblage of materials is quite simple, as this is a flexible material prior to setting up in the pipe. As with bursting, this material would sit in the street adjacent to the entry manhole and machinery.




Note: Yellow shading indicates project location.



LEGEND

- Area of Potential Effects (APE)
- Manholes in APE



Notes:

1. APE contains 11,700 linear feet of sewer lines and 43 manholes.
2. Topo map is from the United States Geologic Survey (USGS).



Level of Environmental Review Determination:

Categorically Excluded per 24 CFR 58.35(a), and subject to laws and authorities at §58.5:

The project falls into the category below, which is listed at 24 CFR 58.35(a) as a Categorically Excluded activity subject to Section 58.5.

1. Acquisition, repair, improvement, reconstruction, or rehabilitation of public facilities and improvements (other than buildings) when the facilities and improvements are already in place and will be retained in the same use without change in size or capacity for more than 20 percent. Examples:
 - Replacement of water or sewer lines
 - Reconstruction of curbs and sidewalks
 - Repaving of streets

Funding Information

Grant Number	HUD Program	Funding Amount

Estimated Total HUD Funded Amount: \$2,500,000.

Estimated Total Project Cost (HUD and non-HUD funds) [24 CFR 58.32(d)]:

Compliance with 24 CFR 50.4, 58.5, and 58.6 Laws and Authorities

Record below the compliance or conformance determinations for each statute, executive order, or regulation. Provide credible, traceable, and supportive source documentation for each authority. Where applicable, complete the necessary reviews or consultations and obtain or note applicable permits of approvals. Clearly note citations, dates/names/titles of contacts, and page references. Attach additional documentation as appropriate.

Compliance Factors: Statutes, Executive Orders, and Regulations listed at 24 CFR §58.5 and §58.6	Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?	Compliance determinations
STATUTES, EXECUTIVE ORDERS, AND REGULATIONS LISTED AT 24 CFR 50.4 & 58.6		
Airport Hazards 24 CFR Part 51 Subpart D	Yes No <input type="checkbox"/> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	The project is not within or adjacent to an airport clear zone. Figure attached.

<p>Coastal Barrier Resources</p> <p>Coastal Barrier Resources Act, as amended by the Coastal Barrier Improvement Act of 1990 [16 USC 3501]</p>	<p>Yes No <input type="checkbox"/> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/></p>	<p>There are no Coastal Barrier Resource Areas in Washington, Oregon, Alaska, or Idaho. See Coastal Barrier Resources map provided.</p>
<p>Flood Insurance</p> <p>Flood Disaster Protection Act of 1973 and National Flood Insurance Reform Act of 1994 [42 USC 4001-4128 and 42 USC 5154a]</p>	<p>Yes No <input type="checkbox"/> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/></p>	<p>This project does not involve financial assistance for construction, rehabilitation, or acquisition of a mobile home, building, or insurable personal property. This project does not require flood insurance or is excepted from flood insurance. The project is not located in a Special Flood Hazard Area. See FEMA-FIRM mapping provided. See attached Project Description.</p>
<p>STATUTES, EXECUTIVE ORDERS, AND REGULATIONS LISTED AT 24 CFR 50.4 & 58.5</p>		
<p>Clean Air</p> <p>Clean Air Act, as amended, particularly section 176(c) & (d); 40 CFR Parts 6, 51, 93</p>	<p>Yes No <input type="checkbox"/> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/></p>	<p>The project does not include new construction or conversion of land use facilitating the development of public, commercial, or industrial facilities OR include five or more dwelling units. As such, the project is compliance with this section. See project description.</p>
<p>Coastal Zone Management</p> <p>Coastal Zone Management Act, sections 307(c) & (d)</p>	<p>Yes No <input type="checkbox"/> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/></p>	<p>The project is not located within a coastal zone management area (CZMA) in the state of Oregon. Map showing Oregon Coastal Zones is attached.</p>
<p>Contamination and Toxic Substances</p> <p>24 CFR Part 50.3(i) & 58.5(i)(2)</p>	<p>Yes No <input type="checkbox"/> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/></p>	<p>According to the EDR report, the target property was not listed in any of the local, State, and Federal environmental databases. Therefore, based on the EDR report, no recognized environmental conditions were found on the target property. Refer to page 8, of the EDR Report dated April 14, 2020.</p>
<p>Endangered Species</p> <p>Endangered Species Act of 1973, particularly section 7; 50 CFR Part 402</p>	<p>Yes No <input type="checkbox"/> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/></p>	<p>The project is determined to have no effect on ESA listed, candidate, or proposed species, Critical Habitat, or NOAA-NMFS protected species. USFWS official species list (IPaC), NMFS fish Evolutionary Significant Units maps (3), and no effect information is attached. Improvements will occur on land that has already been developed, replacement of existing facilities, existing ROW and easements. No</p>

		in water work will occur or be discharged to surface waterbody. No increase in impervious surfaces will result.
Explosive and Flammable Hazards 24 CFR Part 51 Subpart C	Yes No <input type="checkbox"/> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Explosive and Flammable Operation hazards are not part of this project. The project does not include development, construction, rehabilitation that will increase residential densities, or conversion. See Project Description.
Farmlands Protection Farmland Protection Policy Act of 1981, particularly sections 1504(b) and 1541; 7 CFR Part 658	Yes No <input type="checkbox"/> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	The project does not include any activities, including new construction, acquisition of undeveloped land, or conversion, that could potentially convert one land use to another. All project area is within the city right of way in areas previously disturbed through development or maintenance. The project will not result in any conversion of agricultural and to non-agricultural land use-no land use change will occur. The project will not take place on land zoned for agricultural use. Improvements will occur on land owned by the City of Ontario for public purposes or via existing ROW and easements.
Floodplain Management Executive Order 11988, particularly section 2(a); 24 CFR Part 55	Yes No <input type="checkbox"/> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	The project is not located in a Special Flood Hazard Area (not within a mapped 500-, 100-year flood zone, AE Zoned Floodway, nor a coastal high hazard area (V Zone). Project mapped as Zone C and will have no effect. Floodplain FIRM Map attached.
Historic Preservation National Historic Preservation Act of 1966, particularly sections 106 and 110; 36 CFR Part 800	Yes No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>	No previously undisturbed ground, historic structures or districts included in project. An inadvertent Discovery Plan is attached for review. Monitor will be present for ground disturbance. Documentation is attached.
Noise Abatement and Control Noise Control Act of 1972, as amended by the Quiet Communities Act of 1978; 24 CFR Part 51 Subpart B	Yes No <input type="checkbox"/> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	The project does not include new construction for residential use, rehabilitation of an existing residential property, or a research demonstration project. See Project Description.

Sole Source Aquifers Safe Drinking Water Act of 1974, as amended, particularly section 1424(e); 40 CFR Part 149	Yes No <input type="checkbox"/> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Project not located within US EPA designated Sole Source Aquifer (SSA) watershed area. Map of USEPA designated SSA watershed area are attached.
Wetlands Protection Executive Order 11990, particularly sections 2 and 5	Yes No <input type="checkbox"/> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No impacts to on- or off-site wetlands will occur. According to National Wetland Inventory (NWI) state wetland inventory there are no wetlands or other aquatic resources within the project area. Wetland Inventory map attached. No local inventory available for city of Ontario. All work will be conducted within paved or graveled Right of Way.
Wild and Scenic Rivers Wild and Scenic Rivers Act of 1968, particularly section 7(b) and (c)	Yes No <input type="checkbox"/> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	There are no Wild and Scenic Rivers adjacent to the project location. A map of the project area and nearest Wild and Scenic Rivers in Oregon is attached.
ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE		
Environmental Justice Executive Order 12898	Yes No <input type="checkbox"/> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No unmitigated adverse environmental impact has been identified from the proposed project. The sewer system improvement project will not result in environmental injustice. The project will improve sanitary sewer infrastructure for all persons in the City of Ontario including persons of low to moderate income. The project will reduce arsenic inputs into the Snake River and may benefit downstream water users.

Field Inspection (Date and completed by): Jacobs and City of Ontario have conducted site assessments for design, siting, and project scope in 2019 and 2020.

Summary of Findings and Conclusions:

No adverse environmental impact has been identified from the proposed project. Inadvertent discovery plan (Historic) and onsite monitor for ground disturbing activities is provided as mitigation.

Mitigation Measures and Conditions [40 CFR 1505.2(c)]


Summarize below all mitigation measures adopted by the Responsible Entity to reduce, avoid, or eliminate adverse environmental impacts and to avoid non-compliance or non-conformance with

the above-listed authorities and factors. These measures/conditions must be incorporated into project contracts, development agreements, and other relevant documents. The staff responsible for implementing and monitoring mitigation measures should be clearly identified in the mitigation plan.

Law, Authority, or Factor	Mitigation Measure
SHPO Section 106	Inadvertent Discovery Plan provided and onsite monitor will be present during ground disturbing activities.


Determination:

- This categorically excluded activity/project converts to Exempt, per 58.34(a)(12) because there are no circumstances which require compliance with any of the federal laws and authorities cited at §58.5. **Funds may be committed and drawn down after certification of this part** for this (now) EXEMPT project; OR
- This categorically excluded activity/project cannot convert to Exempt because there are circumstances which require compliance with one or more federal laws and authorities cited at §58.5. Complete consultation/mitigation protocol requirements, **publish NOI/RROF and obtain “Authority to Use Grant Funds”** (HUD 7015.16) per Section 58.70 and 58.71 before committing or drawing down any funds; OR
- This project is now subject to a full Environmental Assessment according to Part 58 Subpart E due to extraordinary circumstances (Section 58.35(c)).

Preparer Signature:  Date: 11.19.2020

Name/Title/Organization: Gretchen Herron, Environmental Scientist, Jacobs Engineering

Responsible Entity Agency Official Signature:

 Date: 11-19-20

Name/Title: Adam Brown, City Manager, City of Ontario, Oregon

This original, signed document and related supporting material must be retained on file by the Responsible Entity in an Environmental Review Record (ERR) for the activity/project (ref: 24 CFR Part 58.38) and in accordance with recordkeeping requirements for the HUD program(s).

Determination of Exemption 24 CFR 58.34(a) and 58.35(b)	
Activity Name:	City of Ontario, Oregon Sanitary Sewer Improvement Project
Grant Number:	XXXXX
Address:	City Hall 444 SW 4th Street Ontario, Oregon 97914
Activity Description:	The project includes grant management, environmental review preparation, engineering, and inspection prior to sewer repair work. Repair existing sewer line that is leaking and broken with pipe bursting technique.

Funding Source: CDBG HOME ESG HOPWA EDI ICDBG NAHASDA Other: _____

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	The activity falls into the category below, which is listed at 24 CFR 58.34(a) as Exempt.	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	1.	Environmental and other studies, resource identification, and development of plans and strategies
<input type="checkbox"/>	2.	Information and financial services
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	3.	Administrative and management activities
<input type="checkbox"/>	4.	Public services that will not have a physical impact or result in any physical changes including but not limited to services concerned with: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Employment • Crime prevention • Child care • Other: _____ • Health • Recreation needs • Drug abuse • Education • Counseling • Energy conservation • Welfare
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	5.	Inspections and testing of properties for hazards or defects
<input type="checkbox"/>	6.	Purchase of insurance
<input type="checkbox"/>	7.	Purchase of tools
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	8.	Engineering or design costs
<input type="checkbox"/>	9.	Technical assistance and training
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	10.	Temporary or permanent improvements that do not alter environmental conditions and are limited to activities to protect, repair or arrest the effects of disasters or imminent threats to public safety, including those resulting from physical deterioration.
<input type="checkbox"/>	11.	Payment of principal and interest on loans made or obligations guaranteed by HUD

In addition to making a written determination of exemption, the Responsible Entity must also determine whether any of the requirements of 24 CFR 58.6 are applicable and address as appropriate.

Section 1. Flood Disaster Protection Act		
Are funds for acquisition (including equipment) or construction (including repair and rehabilitation) purposes?	Yes Continue	No. Proceed to Section 2. Act does not apply.
Is the Activity in an area identified as having special flood hazards (SFHA)?	Yes Document and Continue	No. Document and Proceed to Section 2. Act does not apply.
Identify FEMA flood map used to make this determination:		
Community Name and Number	Ontario, Oregon FIRM Flood Insurance Rate Map	
Map panel number and date	410152 0005B April 17, 1984	

Is the Community participating in the National Insurance Program (or has less than one year passed since FEMA notification of Special Flood Hazards)?	Yes Document and follow instructions below.	No - Federal Assistance may not be used for this project.
Flood Insurance under the National Flood Insurance Program must be obtained and maintained for the economic life of the project in the amount of the total project cost. A copy of the flood insurance policy declaration must be kept on file.		


Section 2. Airport Runway Clear Zones (Civil) and Accident Potential Zones (Military)

Does the project involve HUD assistance, subsidy or insurance for the purchase or sale of an existing property?	Yes Continue	No. Proceed to Section 3. Regulation does not apply.
Is the project located within 2,500 feet of a civil airport or 15,000 feet of a military airfield?	Yes Continue	No. Document and Proceed to Section 3. Regulation does not apply.
Is the project located within an FAA-designated civilian airport Runway Clear Zone (RCA) or Runway Protection Zone, or within the military Airfield Clear Zone (CZ) or Accident Potential Zone/Approach Protection Zone (APZ), based upon information from the airport or military airfield administrator identifying the boundaries of such zones?	Yes Continue	No. Document and Proceed to Section 3. Regulation does not apply.
Comply with 24 CFR Part 51, Subpart D. This may include providing a written notice to a prospective buyer or leaser of the potential hazards from airplane accidents and the potential that an airfield operator may wish to purchase the property. Maintain copies of the signed notice. For properties located in a military clear zone, make and document a determination of whether the use of the property is consistent with DOD guidelines. Notice Sample: https://www.hudexchange.info/environmental-review/airport-hazards/		

Section 3. Coastal Barrier Resources Act

Section 58.6 also requires compliance with the Coastal Barrier Resources Act. There are no Coastal Barrier Resource Areas in Washington, Oregon, Alaska, or Idaho. Therefore, the Act does not apply.

Certification

A Request for Release of Funds (RROF) is not required for this project or this portion of the project. The activity may be initiated without further environmental review beyond 24 CFR Part 58.6.	
Name of project	City of Ontario, Sanitary Sewer Improvement Project
Responsible Entity Signature	
Name and Title (print)	Adam Brown, City of Ontario, Oregon, City Manager
Date	11-16-17